

www.njbia.org/recovery

Date: May 13, 2020

Re: S-2380 (Sweeney) Concerns Employment Benefits and Coronavirus Disease 2019 Infections Contracted by Essential Employees.

Dear Members of the New Jersey Senate

From: New Jersey Business Coalition

African American Chamber of Commerce of New Jersey, Inc. American Camp Association NY/NJ American Council of Engineering Companies of New Jersey American Physical Therapy Association of New Jersey Bernards Township Regional Chamber of Commerce **BioNJ** Burlington County Regional Chamber of Commerce Bus Association of New Jersey Cape May County Chamber of Commerce **Capital Region Minority Chamber of Commerce** Chamber of Commerce Southern New Jersey Chemistry Council of New Jersey Commerce and Industry Association of New Jersey Early Childhood Education Advocates **Employers Association of NJ** Fuel Merchants Association of NJ Garden State Pharmacy Owners, Inc. **Global Business Alliance Gloucester County Chamber of Commerce** Greater Atlantic City Chamber of Commerce Greater Toms River Chamber of Commerce Greater Westfield Area Chamber of Commerce Health Care Association of NJ Hoboken Chamber of Commerce Home Care & Hospice Association of New Jersey Home Health Services Association of New Jersey Hudson County Chamber of Commerce Hunterdon County Chamber of Commerce Insurance Council of New Jersey Mahwah Regional Chamber of Commerce Marine Trades Association of New Jersey

Meadowlands Chamber Middlesex County Regional Chamber of Commerce Monmouth-Ocean Development Council NAIOP - NJ New Jersey Apartment Association New Jersey Asphalt Pavement Association New Jersey Association of Mental Health and Addiction Agencies New Jersey Association of Osteopathic Physicians and Surgeons New Jersey Bankers Association New Jersey Builders Association New Jersey Business & Industry Association New Jersey Campground Owners and Outdoor Lodging Association New Jersey Chamber of Commerce New Jersey Child Care Association New Jersey Civil Justice Institute New Jersey Coalition of Automotive Retailers New Jersey Concrete and Aggregate Association New Jersey Council of County Colleges New Jersey Dental Association New Jersey Food Council New Jersey Gasoline-Convenience-Automotive Association New Jersey Hotel and Lodging Industry Association New Jersey Independent Electrical Contractors Association New Jersey LGBT Chamber of Commerce New Jersey Manufacturing Extension Program, Inc. New Jersey Motor Truck Association New Jersey Pharmacists Association New Jersey Podiatric Medical Society New Jersey Restaurant & Hospitality Association New Jersey Retail Merchants Association New Jersey Self Insurers Association New Jersey Society of Certified Public Accountants New Jersey State Funeral Directors Association New Jersey Tech Council New Jersey Tourism Industry Association New Jersey Utilities Association New Jersey State Veterans Chamber of Commerce New Jersey Warehousemen & Movers Association New York Shipping Association, Inc. Newark Regional Business Partnership NFIB

North Jersey Jewish Business Alliance Ocean City Chamber of Commerce Princeton Mercer Regional Chamber of Commerce Salem County Chamber of Commerce Somerset County Business Partnership Southern NJ Development Council Southern Ocean County Chamber of Commerce Statewide Hispanic Chamber of Commerce of New Jersey Sussex County Chamber of Commerce The Chamber of Commerce The Chamber of Commerce of Greater for Greater Philadelphia The New Jersey Leadership Council of the National Association of Professional Employer Organizations Union Township Chamber of Commerce

On behalf of the Business Coalition, we write to you with concern about Senate Bill No. 2380 (Sweeney) which would create a rebuttable presumption, for workers' compensation insurance purposes, that certain essential employees contracted the virus during the scope of their employment. The business community is appreciative of the need to ensure that our front-line workers who have contracted COVID-19, and who have been negatively affected, receive the benefits that they need to make them whole. We will continue to work with the Senate President on this legislation.

We have significant concerns, however, with using the workers' compensation system as the primary method to provide these benefits. Our primary concerns are that the cost of these claims can overwhelm the system, which was not designed to handle claims during a worldwide pandemic, and that the costs will be pushed back onto the business community, which is also struggling to survive. Workers' compensation benefits are, by law, an injured worker's exclusive remedy for workplace injuries. Therefore, this legislation will only serve to shift the cost of the pandemic response to New Jersey's workers' compensation system and the essential businesses paying premiums for this insurance. No new short-term financial benefits will be provided under this legislation. In fact, it may create barriers to workers needing immediate assistance.

To support our position we've attached a document that explains: a) the availability of federal Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA) funding for employees who are unable to work due to COVID-19 related reasons, but who nevertheless remain employed, and b) that those PUA payments would be reduced by the amount the employee received from workers' compensation.

We have confirmed the U.S. Department of Labor's interpretation on both of these points. They are working on updating their guidance to clarify that the CARES Act provides benefits under the

PUA program to employees who are not able to work for COVID-19 related reasons, even if they remain employed.

COVID-19 medical costs are also addressed by recent federal legislation. The new Health and Human Services portal is now covering any expenses for COVID-19 testing and treatment for anyone who lacks health insurance coverage. Healthcare providers treating uninsured patients can submit their expenses <u>here</u> to receive reimbursement. Providing the exclusive remedy of workers' compensation would only serve to shift these costs to New Jersey employers, effectively leaving federal dollars on the table. To the extent there were gaps in health insurance coverage for front-line retail employees, the federal government has taken that on.

Because existing federal programs are already addressing the immediate needs of workers, we believe now is not the time to enact a program that would displace otherwise available federal dollars. This is especially true given the fact that Congress is currently negotiating an additional worker benefit package.

Nevertheless, if legislators choose to move a bill now, despite the availability of federal funding that may be lost, please be aware that we also have particular concerns about some specific provisions in the legislation, including but not limited to the following:

<u>Definition of Essential Employee</u>: An "essential employee" is not clearly defined.

<u>Theory of exposure</u>: A claimant should have some credible reason to believe the exposure occurred at work. Data coming out of a New York study suggests lower infection rate for some front-line workers than the public at large – perhaps due to better protective equipment and safety protocols. For the presumption of causation to make sense, there should be some basis for it. Close interaction with customers and no known exposure in any other context would suffice to establish the presumption, but the claimant should have some articulable basis.

<u>Duration of Rebuttable Presumption</u>: As the existing stay-at-home order is loosened by subsequent executive orders, permitting more opportunities to interact with other people outside of the home, the basis for the presumption will be significantly weaker. Already, there is emerging data revealing that the vast majority of newly hospitalized COVID-19 patients are getting the virus not from work but from being at home. Because the virus is so new, perhaps we don't yet have a complete scientific understanding of its transmission.

<u>Proof of Infection</u>: Given the shortages in testing capabilities, employees should not have to provide a positive test for COVID-19, but a diagnosis by a licensed physician. Even the use of telemedicine is appropriate to maintain the integrity of the system.

<u>Not to Be Used in Other Liability Contexts</u>: Whatever the policy rationale for a rebuttable presumption to facilitate no-fault workers' compensation payments to essential employees, the same is not true for other tort actions that might be brought for COVID-19 injuries. The legislation should specify that the presumption shall not be used to establish liability in any other context.

We respectfully ask that this legislation be held to determine the appropriate way to handle such claims as a result of a global pandemic.

Please contact Chrissy Buteas, Chief Government Affairs Officer, NJBIA at cbuteas@njbia.org or 908-447-3466 with any questions.